PUBLICATION ETHICS STATEMENT

Collected Papers of the Law Faculty of the University of Mostar (Zbornik radova Pravnog fakulteta Sveučilišta u Mostaru) complies with the highest standards of editorial ethics, the principles of the University of Mostar Code of Ethics, as well as the principles of the COPE Code of Conduct for Journal Editors.

The editor/editorial board is responsible for the final decision on the publication of accepted works in the Proceedings. The decision is based on the estimated importance, originality, clarity of the work and the opinions of at least two reviewers, one of whom is always from abroad. Papers are subject of objective double-blind peer review. The editor/editorial board continuously works to improve the quality of the Proceedings and adhere to intellectual and ethical standards. The editorial board publishes the Guidelines for Authors, that are regularly updated.

Authors who submit manuscripts for publication in the Collected Papers of the Law Faculty of the University of Mostar must ensure that it contains reliable data (application of suitable methods in data processing, accuracy of data, etc.). Research results should be published without manipulation and falsification. The authors should cite the relevant sources used in the work to enable verification of the research results. Plagiarism of any kind is a serious violation of publishing behavior.

Peer reviewers assists the editor/editorial board in making editorial decisions as well as the author in order to improve the quality of the manuscript. Reviewers who are not considered qualified to review submitted manuscripts should notify the Editor/Editorial Board who will contact alternate reviewers. The same procedure should be taken in case the reviewers think that they will not be able to write a review within the prescribed time limit. When preparing a review, reviewers must be objective, check the citation of relevant sources in the paper, preserve the confidentiality of the paper they are reviewing, and take care to exclude themselves from the review process in the case of any conflict.